

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/605,145

Applicant(s)

MCCULLOUGH, KEVIN A.

Examiner

Brenda A Lamb

Art Unit

1734

All Participants:(1) Brenda A Lamb.(2) Attorney Tetreault.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 November 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

All

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brenda Adelle Lamb
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
AttorneyTetreault agreed to changes in the claims in order to more positively set forth applicant's invention and to obviate 112 rejections thereby placing the application in condition for allowance. AttorneyTetreault agreed to changes in Figure 1 to depict the means for injecting a pressurized flow of polymer material into the injection molding die.